	Case3:12-cv-05556-RS Document55	Filed10/17/13 Page1 of 5
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		DISTRICT COURT ICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
11	IN RE OCZ TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC. SHAREHOLDER DERIVATIVE	) Lead Case No.: C-12-05556-RS
12	LITIGATION	) (Consolidated with Case Nos. C-12-06058-RS) C-12-06343-RS)
13	This Document Relates to:	
14	ALL ACTIONS	<ul><li>(PROPOSED) FINAL JUDGMENT AND</li><li>ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE</li></ul>
15		) Judge: Hon. Richard Seeborg
16		
17		
18 19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	876826 1	

This matter came before the Court for hearing pursuant to the Order of this Court, dated August 5, 2013 ("Preliminary Approval Order"), on the application of the Settling Parties for approval of the settlement ("Settlement") set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement dated July 19, 2013 (the "Stipulation"). Due and adequate notice having been given to the current OCZ Technology Group, Inc. ("OCZ") shareholders as required in said Preliminary Approval Order, and the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings had herein and otherwise being fully informed in the premises and good cause appearing therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

- 1. This Judgment incorporates by reference the definitions in the Stipulation, and all capitalized terms contained herein shall have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation (in addition to those capitalized terms defined herein).
- 2. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the Action, including all matters necessary to effectuate the Settlement, and over all parties to the Action, including the Plaintiffs, the current OCZ shareholders, and the Defendants.
- 3. The Court finds that the Notice and Summary Notice provided to OCZ shareholders was the best notice practicable under the circumstances and fully satisfied the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.1 and due process.
- 4. The Action and all claims contained therein, as well as all of the Released Claims, are dismissed with prejudice. As between Plaintiffs and Defendants, the Settling Parties are to bear their own costs, except as otherwise provided in the Stipulation.
- 5. The Court finds that the Stipulation and Settlement are fair, reasonable, and adequate as to each of the Settling Parties and further finds that the Settlement is in the best interests of OCZ and its shareholders. The Court hereby finally approves the Stipulation and Settlement in all respects, and orders the Settling Parties to perform its terms to the extent the Settling Parties have not already done so.
- 6. Except as provided in paragraph 7.4 of the Stipulation, upon the Effective Date, Plaintiffs on their own behalf and derivatively on behalf of OCZ, OCZ, and each of OCZ's

876826\_1 shareholders (solely in their capacity as OCZ shareholders) shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged the Released Claims, including Unknown Claims, against the Released Persons. Nothing herein shall in any way impair or restrict the rights of any of the Settling Parties to enforce the terms of the Stipulation.

- 7. Upon the Effective Date, each of the Defendants and their Released Persons shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged each and all of the Plaintiffs and Plaintiffs' Counsel from all claims (including Unknown Claims) arising out of, relating to, or in connection with, the institution, prosecution, assertion, settlement, or resolution of the Action or the Released Claims. Nothing herein shall in any way impair or restrict the rights of the Settling Parties to enforce the terms of the Stipulation.
- 8. All OCZ shareholders are hereby barred and enjoined from prosecuting the Released Claims against the Released Persons.
- 9. The Court hereby approves the Fee and Expense Amount in accordance with the Stipulation and finds that the Fee and Expense Amount is fair and reasonable.
- 10. Neither the Stipulation nor the Settlement, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Stipulation or the Settlement: (i) is or may be deemed to be or may be offered, attempted to be offered, or used in any way by the Settling Parties or any other Person as a presumption, a concession or an admission of, or evidence of, any fault, wrongdoing, or liability of the Defendants or of the validity of any Released Claims; or (ii) is intended by the Settling Parties to be offered or received as evidence or used by any other Person in any civil, criminal, administrative, or other proceeding whatsoever, including before any court, administrative agency, or other tribunal. The Released Persons may file the Stipulation and/or the Judgment in any action brought against them in order to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, full faith and credit, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar or

## Case3:12-cv-05556-RS Document55 Filed10/17/13 Page4 of 5

reduction, or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or 1 2 counterclaim. 11. 3 During the course of the litigation, the parties and their respective counsel at all times 4 complied with the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11 and all other similar laws. 5 12. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over the Action and the parties to the Stipulation to enter any further orders 6 7 as may be necessary to effectuate the Stipulation, the Settlement provided for therein, and the 8 provisions of this Judgment. 9 13. No proceeding, appeal, or petition pertaining solely to the Fee and Expense Amount 10 or reversal or modification thereof, shall operate to terminate, modify or cancel the Stipulation, or affect or delay the Effective Date or the finality of this Judgment. 11 12 14. In the event that the Settlement does not become effective in accordance with the terms of the Stipulation, this Judgment shall be vacated, and all orders entered and releases delivered in connection with the Stipulation and this Judgment shall become null and void, except as otherwise 15 provided for in the Stipulation. 16 15. This Judgment is a final, appealable judgment and should be entered forthwith by the Clerk in accordance with Rule 58, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. 17 18 IT IS SO ORDERED. 19 DATED: \_10/17/13 THE HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG 20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 21 Submitted by, 22 ROBBINS ARROYO LLP 23 BRIAN J. ROBBINS GEORGE C. AGUILAR 24 MICHAEL J. NICOUD 25 26 s/ George C. Aguilar GEORGE C. AGUILAR 27 600 B Street, Suite 1900 28 876826\_1 [PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE - C-12-05556-RS

- 3

1	San Diego, CA 92101
2	Telephone: (619) 525-3990 Facsimile: (619) 525-3991
3	brobbins@robbinsarroyo.com gaguilar@robbinsarroyo.com
4	mnicoud@robbinsarroyo.com
5	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
6	& DOWD LLP TRAVIS E. DOWNS III
7	BENNY C. GOODMAN III ERIK W. LUEDEKE
8	655 West Broadway, Suite 1900 San Diego, CA 92101
9	Telephone: 619/231-1058
10	619/231-7423 (fax) travisd@rgrdlaw.com
11	bennyg@rgrdlaw.com erikl@rgrdlaw.com
12	ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN
13	& DOWD LLP
14	SHAWN A. WILLIAMS Post Montgomery Center
15	One Montgomery Street, Suite 1800
16	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 288-4545
17	Facsimile: (415) 288-4534 shawnw@rgrdlaw.com
18	Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28 876826_1	[PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE - C-12-05556-RS